UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,348	02/26/2002	Pasi Laurila	P 290657 2990360US/SML/ko	9575	
	7590 09/30/200 VINTHROP LLP	EXAMINER			
1650TYSONS	BOULEVARD	IQBAL, KHAWAR			
McLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/082,348	LAURILA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KHAWAR IQBAL	2617		

	KHAWAR IQBAL	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	i, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ii	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	031160				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or		gpyg					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	npliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		,	,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed in the 07-31-08 Remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Examiner has thoroughly reviewed applicant's arguments but firmly believes the cited reference to reasonably and properly meets the claimed limitations. Applicant's argument was that "creating at least one database comprising subscriber data, from which there is a functional connection to the bearer network, said subscriber data being similar to the data stored in a subscriber application comprised by the terminal, the subscriber data including authentication information" was not taught by the reference. Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. Leung teaches that mobile node will typically have a unique mobile node ID (subscriber application) such as that used by the manufacturer (e.g., a serial number or MAC address). Such a mobile node ID may therefore be used to identify the mobile node during the registration process until an IP address is assigned to the mobile node. This may be accomplished through storing at least a portion of the mobile node ID in the registration request. Once the care-of address has been obtained, a registration request is composed and sent via the care-of address and the mobile node may have a mobile node ID (e.g., serial number) that identifies the mobile node. In order that the mobile node may be identified by data contained in the registration request. at least a portion of the mobile node ID is obtained and provided in the registration request. If the registration is authenticated, registration is completed by the Home Agent in steps 218 through 232, fig. 2. The Home Agent verifies if the mobile node needs an IP address at step 218. If the Home Agent determines that the mobile node needs an IP address, an IP address is obtained at step 222. The IP address may be obtained from a pool of available IP addresses maintained by the Home Agent or another entity accessible by the Home Agent. The mobility binding table (sebscriber data) may be checked first to see if the mobile node is reregistering. The Home Agent then updates a mobility binding table as necessary with a mapping of the mobile node ID to the obtained IP address at step 224. A mobility binding table (a mobility binding table is typically used by a Home Agent to maintain a list of those nodes that have registered with the Home Agent along with their corresponding care-of addresses) is typically used by a Home Agent to maintain a record of the care-of addresses of the mobile nodes that have registered with the Home Agent. After updating the mobility binding table, the home agent composes and sends a Registration Reply to the mobile node, possibly via a foreign agent, to inform the mobile node of the acceptance or rejection of its request. This registration reply is composed and sent in steps 226 through 230. In order to allow a Foreign Agent receiving the registration reply to identify the mobile node, the registration reply includes the identifying portion of the mobile node ID (col. 7, line 5-col. 8 line 20, also see fig. 2, 4, 8-9). Thus the rejection of the claim in view of Leung will remain.